12/24/76

Dear Robert,

Thanks for your 12/21 and the interesting enclosures. As usual they await my reasting and reading time. They are, from your letter, what I do not have.

Articles on the committee can be very important because to now it is not a good operation in any sense and in every one of which I know it has been bad, very bad. No time for explanations. The Ganzalez intro is typical. Only the rest is worse.

Knew about Fensterwald's book. It is not as described in the artcile that is for other reasons quite valuable.

The Oswald conversations story is one of a series of non-stories, disinformation operations. Today's Anderson column is the most recent. The conversations was was leaked by the spooks. Today's by the committee and Fauntroy.

The Two Kennedys is not non-fiction. For some reason it was candelled elsewhere, too. I do not know the story.

I've been too busy on successful FOIA work, incouding on King, to be able to resume writing.

The destruction of documents was not even new. It was some of Lane's bullshit. What was destroyed is the files of the red squad, to keep a VVAW from getting them in court. It was all a matter of court record before there was a committee.

I don't know about the Russeel piece on his false Oswald. I'd be incline to doubt it with this much time having passed with silence all around.

Thanks for your good wishes. Hope you too are having a good holiday. Best to you both,

Sincerely,